

BRIEF COMMUNICATION

Open Access

Genes involved in carnitine synthesis and carnitine uptake are up-regulated in the liver of sows during lactation

Susann Rosenbaum^{1†}, Robert Ringseis^{1*†}, Erika Most¹, Sonja Hillen², Sabrina Becker², Georg Erhardt³, Gerald Reiner² and Klaus Eder¹

Abstract

Background: Convincing evidence exist that carnitine synthesis and uptake of carnitine into cells is regulated by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPAR α), a transcription factor which is physiologically activated during fasting or energy deprivation. Sows are typically in a negative energy balance during peak lactation. We investigated the hypothesis that genes involved in carnitine synthesis and uptake in the liver of sows are up-regulated during peak lactation.

Findings: Transcript levels of several PPAR α target genes involved in fatty acid uptake (FABP4, SLC25A20), fatty acid oxidation (ACOX1, CYP4A24) and ketogenesis (HMGCS2, FGF21) were elevated in the liver of lactating compared to non-lactating sows ($P < 0.05$). In addition, transcript levels of genes involved in carnitine synthesis (ALDH9A1, TMLHE, BBOX1) and carnitine uptake (SLC22A5) in the liver were greater in lactating than in non-lactating sows ($P < 0.05$). Carnitine concentrations in liver and plasma were about 20% and 50%, respectively, lower in lactating than in non-lactating sows ($P < 0.05$), which is likely due to an increased loss of carnitine via the milk.

Conclusions: The results of the present study show that PPAR α is activated in the liver of sows during lactation which leads to an up-regulation of genes involved in carnitine synthesis and carnitine uptake. The PPAR α mediated up-regulation of genes involved in carnitine synthesis and uptake in the liver of lactating sows may be regarded as an adaptive mechanism to maintain hepatic carnitine levels at a level sufficient to transport excessive amounts of fatty acids into the mitochondrion.

Keywords: Lactation, Sow, Liver, PPAR α pathway, Carnitine

Findings

Lactation is associated with a dramatic increase in the energy and nutrient requirement of the organism for milk production which is usually met by an elevation of food intake, a mobilisation of body's energy stores, and several metabolic adaptations [1,2]. In order to improve the knowledge about metabolic adaptations during lactation in sows we have recently analyzed the changes in the hepatic transcriptome of sows during lactation using a porcine whole-genome microarray [3]. Interestingly, enrichment analysis using the Kyoto Encyclopedia of

Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database revealed that peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPAR α) signalling is one of the regulatory pathways which is activated in the liver of sows during lactation [3]. PPAR α is a ligand-activated transcription factor which induces of a large set of genes encoding proteins involved in fatty acid catabolism, such as fatty acid activation, fatty acid β -oxidation, fatty acid hydroxylation, ketogenesis and gluconeogenesis [4,5]. This transcription factor is known to be activated by non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) [4,5], which are released from adipose tissue during early lactation due to the strong increase in the sow's energy requirement for milk production which cannot be completely covered by an increase of feed intake [3]. Genes involved in fatty acid catabolism are up-regulated

* Correspondence: robert.ringseis@ernaehrung.uni-giessen.de

[†]Equal contributors

¹Institute of Animal Nutrition and Nutritional Physiology, Justus-Liebig-University, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 26-32, Giessen 35392, Germany
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

by PPAR α because the regulatory regions of these genes contain specific DNA sequences, called peroxisome proliferator response elements (PPRE), which are bound by the activated PPAR α complex and mediate transcription of these genes [4,5]. Studies in mice, rats and pigs indicated that PPAR α is not only a critical regulator of fatty acid catabolic genes but also a key regulator of genes involved in carnitine synthesis and uptake [6]. In pigs, carnitine biosynthesis occurs exclusively in liver and kidney, with liver being quantitatively most important [7]. Convincing evidence for the regulation of genes involved in carnitine synthesis and uptake by PPAR α has been provided from genetic studies in which functional PPRE have been identified in the regulatory regions of the mouse genes encoding the carnitine transporter novel organic cation transporter 2 (OCTN2/SLC22A5) and two enzymes of the carnitine biosynthetic pathway, γ -butyrobetaine dioxygenase (BBOX1) and 4-trimethylaminobutyraldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH9A1) [8-10]. Like in lactating sows, activation of hepatic PPAR α and up-regulation of fatty acid catabolic genes in the liver has been reported in early lactating cows [11-13]. In addition, induction of genes involved in carnitine synthesis and uptake (SLC22A5, BBOX1, ALDH9A1) in the liver and a marked increase in the hepatic carnitine concentration has been observed in cows during lactation [14]. The increase in the hepatic concentration of carnitine in the early lactating cow has been interpreted as a means to supply the liver with sufficient carnitine required for transport of excessive amounts of fatty acids into the mitochondrion. Regarding that PPAR α is activated and genes involved in fatty acid catabolism are up-regulated also in the liver of sows during lactation, we tested the hypothesis that genes involved in carnitine synthesis and uptake are up-regulated in the liver of sows during peak lactation.

The animal study was conducted in accordance with established guidelines for the care and handling of laboratory animals and was approved by the local Animal Welfare Authorities (Regierungspräsidium Giessen; permission no: GI 19/3-No. 29/2010). The experiment included twenty second parity sows (Large White & German Landrace), which were artificially inseminated with semen from boars of the own breed, and kept in single crates until day 21 of pregnancy. From day 21 to 110 of pregnancy the sows were kept in groups in pens that had fully slatted floors, nipple drinkers and feeding stations, and from day 110 of pregnancy until farrowing the sows were housed in single farrowing pens. During pregnancy, all sows received a commercial diet for gestating sows ad libitum. 24 h after farrowing, the sows were randomly assigned into two groups of 10 animals each. In the first group of sows designated as “non-lactating group” (average body weight: 259 \pm 17 kg), all piglets were removed from the sow 24 h after farrowing.

In the second group of sows designated as “lactating group” (average body weight: 256 \pm 17 kg), litters were standardised to 12 piglets per sow. To ensure an adequate temperature of 35°C for the piglets an infrared heater was placed directly above the site of the newborn piglets. The temperature and the relative humidity in the dry sow accommodation and the farrowing unit were kept at 19 \pm 1°C and 60–80%, respectively, by means of an air conditioning system. In addition, a light:dark cycle of 12-h light and 12-h dark was applied. During lactation until the end of the experiment the sows were given a diet for lactating sows. Until day 6 of lactation, the amount of feed given to the lactating sows was successively increased (1.6 kg/d on day 1; 2.6 kg/d on day 2; 4.1 kg/d on day 3; 5 kg/d on day 4; 5.5 kg/d on day 5 and 6.0 kg/d on day 6), and from day 7 of lactation and thereafter the sows received individual amounts of feed depending on their body weights. In the non-lactating group, each sow was given an amount of food sufficient to cover the individual energy and nutrient requirement for maintenance.

The diet for gestating sows consisted of (in g/kg diet): wheat (160), barley (705), soy bean meal with 43% crude protein (80), soy oil (5) and a mineral supplement (50) (Sano Fasernsan Trag[®], Sano-Moderne Tierernährung GmbH, Loiching, Germany) and had a metabolizable energy of 12.2 MJ per kg diet. The diet for lactating sows consisted of (in g/kg diet): wheat (440), barley (350), soy bean meal with 43% crude protein (160), soy oil (15) and a mineral supplement (35) (Sauengold Lac[®], Sano-Moderne Tierernährung GmbH, Loiching, Germany) and had a metabolizable energy of 13.1 MJ per kg diet. Both types of diets (gestation and lactation) were not supplemented with carnitine. The native carnitine concentration of the diets was very low (< 5 mg/kg diet) as determined by tandem mass spectrometry (see below).

On day 20 after farrowing, blood was collected from *V. jugularis* 3 h after feed intake in heparinised polyethylene tubes (Sarstedt, Nürnberg, Germany) and plasma was obtained by centrifugation and stored at -20°C. Liver samples were taken by biopsy which took place in a cleaned and disinfected room in the animal keeping facility. Anesthetization of the sows was carried out as described recently in detail [3]. The liver biopsy samples were taken percutaneously in the left lateral cumbency with a 16 G/1.65 mm biopsy needle (length: 160 mm) on a HistoCore[®] system (BIP Biomed. Instrumente & Produkte GmbH, Germany) as described elsewhere [15]. Liver samples were immediately snap-frozen and stored at -80°C until analysis. Total RNA from frozen liver samples was isolated using Trizol[™] reagent (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol and purified using the RNeasy Minikit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). cDNA synthesis and quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) analysis

were performed as described recently in detail [16]. Characteristics of primers and primer performance data used for qPCR analysis are shown in Table 1. Concentrations of free carnitine and acetylcarnitine in plasma, liver and diets were determined by tandem mass spectrometry according to Hirche et al. [17]. Statistical analysis was performed by one way analysis of variance. Fisher's multiple range test was used to generate significant F-values of differences with $P < 0.05$.

Like in dairy cows, the transition from pregnancy to lactation in sows is associated with severe metabolic

adaptations. The production of milk causes a strong increase of the sow's energy requirement which however cannot be completely covered by an increase of feed intake. Indeed, the sows of the lactating group exhibited a greater loss of body weight from day 1 to day 20 of lactation than sows of the non-lactating group, despite showing a markedly greater feed intake [3]. In line with this, we found that the sows of the lactating group are in a strong negative energy balance during this period [3]. To compensate the negative energy balance NEFA are typically mobilized from adipose tissue which was

Table 1 Characteristics of primers and primer performance data used for qPCR

Gene symbol	Forward primer (from 5' to 3') Reverse primer (from 5' to 3')	Product size (bp)	NCBI GenBank	Slope	R ² #	Efficiency*	M
<i>Reference genes</i>							
RSP9	GTCGCAAGACTTATGTGACC AGCTTAAAGACCTGGGTCT	325	XM_003356050	-0.28	0.999	1.91	0.053
ATP5G1	CAGTCACCTTGAGCCGGGCGA TAGCGCCCGGTGGTTTGC	94	NM_001025218	-0.30	0.998	1.99	0.054
GSR	AGCGCGATGCCTACGTGAGC GGTACGCCCTGTGGCAAT	175	AY368271	-0.29	0.997	1.94	0.055
ACTB	GACATCCGCAAGGACCTCTA ACATCTGCTGGAAGGTGGAC	205	XM_003124280	-0.32	0.992	2.10	0.064
SHAS2	GAAAAGGCTAACCTACCTCG TGTTGGACAAGACCAGTTGG	218	NM_214053	-0.21	0.996	1.65	0.076
<i>Target genes</i>							
ACOX1	CTCGCAGACCCAGATGAAAT TCCAAGCCTCGAAGATGAGT	218	AF185048	-0.28	0.995	1.90	
ALDH9A1	GCTGCTGGCCGAAATCTATA CACACTTCCAGTGAAGGAGA	215	XM_001924860	-0.29	0.999	1.94	
BBOX1	GTGCCGAAAGCTCAAGGAAAAA CTCTGCCGCCGTGAAGTAAC	342	XM_003122909	-0.31	0.985	2.06	
CYP4A24	GGTTTGCTCCTGTTGAATGG GCATCACTTGACAGACTTG	121	NM_214424	-0.29	0.999	1.96	
FABP4	CACCAGGAAGGTGGCTGGCA CCTGTACCAGGGCGCCTCCA	197	NM_001002817	-0.30	0.999	1.98	
FGF21	GAAGCCAGGGGTCATTCAA GGTAAACGTTGTAGCCATCC	149	NM_001163410	-0.31	0.999	2.03	
HMGCS2	GGACCAAACAGACCTGGAGA ATGGTCTCAGTGCCCACTTC	198	NM_214380	-0.32	0.994	2.07	
SLC22A5	TGACCATATCAGTGGGCTA AGTAGGGAGACAGGATGCT	384	XM_003123912	-0.30	0.995	2.00	
SLC25A20	GCAAAGCCCATTAGCCCTCT GAGCACATCCTCTGGGTGTT	312	XM_003483178	-0.28	0.998	1.89	
TMLHE	GCACCATACGCCTCCAAGT TGGTCTCATCCAGACGAACA	221	XM_003135511	-0.35	0.995	1.79	

#Coefficient of determination of the standard curve. *The efficiency is determined by $[10^{-\text{slope}}]$.

demonstrated in the present study by elevated plasma levels of NEFA in the lactating compared to the non-lactating sows [3]. NEFA are then transported via the circulation to the tissues, mainly the liver, from which they are taken up and where they bind to and activate PPAR α [18]. In agreement with this, we observed in the present study that the negative energy balance in sows of the lactating group was associated with strongly elevated (2 to 10-fold) transcript levels of several PPAR α target genes involved in fatty acid uptake (FABP4, SLC25A20), fatty acid oxidation (ACOX1, CYP4A24) and ketogenesis (HMGCS2, FGF21) ($P < 0.05$, Table 2). Given that the above mentioned genes contain functional PPRE motifs in their regulatory regions [5], the up-regulation of these genes during lactation indicates, at least indirectly, that the PPAR α pathway is indeed activated in the liver of lactating sows. Due to the limited amount of liver tissue obtained from the biopsy sampling procedure additional assays, such as EMSA, providing direct evidence for activation of PPAR α could not be conducted. Due to the same reason protein levels of the PPAR α target genes were not determined. However, several studies clearly showed that increased mRNA levels of PPAR α target genes positively correlate with elevated levels of the encoded proteins [19], and are therefore suitable indicators of PPAR α activation.

In accordance with the hypothesis of this study, we found for the first time that lactation causes an up-regulation of genes involved in carnitine synthesis (ALDH9A1, TMLHE, BBOX1) and carnitine uptake (SLC22A5) in the liver of sows ($P < 0.05$, Table 3). Considering that at least the mouse genes encoding ALDH9A1, BBOX1 and SLC22A5 were shown to be direct PPAR α target genes [8-10], it is very likely that the up-regulation of these genes in the liver of lactating sows was caused by the activation of PPAR α . This assumption

Table 2 Relative transcript levels of classical PPAR α target genes involved in fatty acid uptake, fatty acid oxidation and ketogenesis in the liver of lactating and non-lactating sows on day 20 of lactation

	Non-lactating (n = 10)	Lactating (n = 10)
<i>Fatty acid uptake</i>		
FABP4	1 \pm 0.36	1.88 \pm 0.87*
SLC25A20	1 \pm 0.60	4.63 \pm 1.02*
<i>Fatty acid oxidation</i>		
ACOX1	1 \pm 0.59	2.43 \pm 1.47*
CYP4A24	1 \pm 0.41	2.14 \pm 1.47*
<i>Ketogenesis</i>		
FGF21	1 \pm 0.70	4.86 \pm 2.25*
HMGCS2	1 \pm 1.14	9.63 \pm 6.67*

Values represent mean \pm SD for n = 10 sows per group. *Significantly different from non-lactating group ($P < 0.05$).

Table 3 Relative transcript levels of genes involved in carnitine synthesis and carnitine uptake in the liver of lactating and non-lactating sows on day 20 of lactation

	Non-lactating (n = 10)	Lactating (n = 10)
<i>Carnitine uptake</i>		
SLC22A5	1 \pm 0.24	1.54 \pm 0.51*
<i>Carnitine synthesis</i>		
ALDH9A1	1 \pm 0.55	2.72 \pm 1.04*
TMLHE	1 \pm 0.41	1.54 \pm 0.34*
BBOX1	1 \pm 0.43	2.97 \pm 0.96*

Values represent mean \pm SD for n = 10 sows per group. *Significantly different from non-lactating group ($P < 0.05$).

is strongly supported by the fact that the functional PPRE identified in the mouse SLC22A5 gene is completely identical (100%) and the functional PPREs of mouse ALDH9A1 and BBOX1 show a high similarity between mouse and pig [6]. In addition, treatment with the synthetic PPAR α activator clofibrate [20] and food deprivation [21] was reported to cause a marked up-regulation of SLC22A5 and BBOX1 and an increase in the activity of butyrobetaine dioxygenase (encoded by BBOX1), the rate-limiting enzyme of carnitine synthesis, in the liver of pigs. Moreover, treatment with clofibrate [20] and food deprivation [21] causes a significant increase in the hepatic concentration of carnitine in pigs. In the present study we found that the carnitine concentration in the liver was approximately 20% lower in lactating than in non-lactating sows (Free carnitine: 43.88 \pm 9.13 vs. 56.1 \pm 11.5 nmol/g wet weight, n = 10/group, $P < 0.05$), despite the strong increase in the expression of genes involved in carnitine synthesis and uptake. In addition, the concentration of carnitine in plasma was even about 50% lower in lactating than in non-lactating sows (Free carnitine: 6.71 \pm 0.63 vs. 12.90 \pm 0.98 μ mol/L, Acetylcarnitine: 0.73 \pm 0.16 vs. 1.53 \pm 0.20 μ mol/L, n = 10/group, both $P < 0.001$), which is likely due to loss of carnitine from the body pool via the milk. Notably, milk of sows is rich in carnitine. Carnitine concentrations in sows' milk were reported to be in the range between 120 and 185 μ mol/L, depending on the stage of lactation [22,23]. Thus, production of 8–10 liter of milk per day is associated with a loss of 1 to 2 mmoles of carnitine, an amount which is in great excess of the whole plasma carnitine pool. Thus, mobilisation of carnitine from tissue stores and an increase in carnitine synthesis rate might be important for supplying carnitine for milk. These observations, therefore, suggest that the PPAR α mediated up-regulation of genes involved in carnitine synthesis and uptake in the liver of lactating sows is an adaptive mechanism to maintain hepatic carnitine levels at a level sufficient to transport excessive amounts of fatty acids

into the mitochondrion. However, the lower hepatic carnitine level in the liver of lactating than in non-lactating sows indicates that the increase in carnitine synthesis and uptake in the liver of lactating sows cannot completely compensate the loss of carnitine via the milk.

Previous studies have shown that supplementation of carnitine to sows during pregnancy offers beneficial effects on fetal growth and muscle development, number of piglets born and piglet and litter weights at birth [24–27]. It has been assumed that these effects of carnitine were mediated by influencing the secretion of IGF-1 and insulin and by an increased intrauterine nutrition of fetuses [24,25,28]. In contrast, supplementation of carnitine during lactation had less effects on sow and litter performance [22,24]. Thus, our observation of an increased expression of enzymes of carnitine synthesis in the liver during lactation, indicative of an increased carnitine biosynthesis, could provide an explanation for the opposite effects of carnitine supplementation in pregnancy and lactation.

In conclusion, the results of the present study show that the PPAR α pathway is activated in the liver of sows during lactation which leads to an up-regulation of genes involved in carnitine synthesis and carnitine uptake. The PPAR α mediated up-regulation of genes involved in carnitine synthesis and uptake in the liver of lactating sows may be regarded as an adaptive means to maintain hepatic carnitine levels at a level sufficient to transport excessive amounts of fatty acids into the mitochondrion.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

SR conducted the animal experiment, performed the PCR analyses and the statistical analyses and wrote the manuscript. RR supervised PCR analyses and helped to draft the manuscript. SH and GR established the liver biopsy sampling procedure. SL conducted liver biopsy sampling. GE was responsible for animal keeping. KE conceived of the study, participated in its design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft; Grant no. ED 70/9-1).

Author details

¹Institute of Animal Nutrition and Nutritional Physiology, Justus-Liebig-University, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 26-32, Giessen 35392, Germany.

²Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences, Swine Diseases, Justus-Liebig-University, Frankfurter Straße 112, Giessen 35392, Germany.

³Institute for Animal Breeding and Genetics, Justus-Liebig-University, Ludwigstraße 21b, Giessen 35390, Germany.

Received: 17 January 2013 Accepted: 7 March 2013

Published: 14 March 2013

References

1. Trayhurn P, Douglas JB, McGuckin MM: Brown adipose tissue thermogenesis is 'suppressed' during lactation in mice. *Nature* 1982, **298**:59–60.

2. Williamson DH: Regulation of metabolism during lactation in the rat. *Reprod Nutr Dev* 1986, **26**:597–603.
3. Rosenbaum S, Ringseis R, Hillen S, Becker S, Erhardt G, Reiner G, Eder K: Genome-wide transcript profiling indicates induction of energy-generating pathways and an adaptive immune response in the liver of sows during lactation. *Comp Biochem Physiol Part D Genomics Proteomics* 2012, **7**:370–381.
4. Kersten S, Seydoux J, Peters JM, Gonzalez FJ, Desvergne B, Wahli W: Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α mediates the adaptive response to fasting. *J Clin Invest* 1999, **103**:1489–1498.
5. Mandard S, Müller M, Kersten S: Peroxisome proliferator receptor α a target genes. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2004, **61**:393–416.
6. Ringseis R, Wen G, Eder K: Regulation of genes involved in carnitine homeostasis by PPAR α across different species (rat, mouse, pig, cattle, chicken, and human). *PPAR Res* 2012, **2012**:868317.
7. Fischer M, Keller J, Hirche F, Kluge H, Ringseis R, Eder K: Activities of gamma-butyrobetaine dioxygenase and concentrations of carnitine in tissues of pigs. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol* 2009, **153**:324–331.
8. Wen G, Ringseis R, Eder K: Mouse OCTN2 is directly regulated by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPAR α) via a PPRE located in the first intron. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2010, **79**:768–776.
9. Wen G, Kühne H, Rauer C, Ringseis R, Eder K: Mouse γ -butyrobetaine dioxygenase is regulated by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α through a PPRE located in the proximal promoter. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2011, **82**:175–183.
10. Wen G, Ringseis R, Rauer C, Eder K: The mouse gene encoding the carnitine biosynthetic enzyme 4-N-trimethylaminobutyraldehyde dehydrogenase is regulated by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α . *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1819, **2012**:357–365.
11. Loor JJ, Dann HM, Everts RE, Oliveira R, Green CA, Janovick Guretzky NA, Rodriguez-Zas SL, Lewin HA, Drackley JK: Temporal gene expression profiling of liver from periparturient dairy cows reveals complex adaptive mechanisms in hepatic function. *Physiol Genomics* 2005, **23**:217–226.
12. Loor JJ, Everts RE, Bionaz M, Dann HM, Morin DE, Oliveira R, Rodriguez-Zas SL, Drackley JK, Lewin HA: Nutrition-induced ketosis alters metabolic and signaling gene networks in liver of periparturient dairy cows. *Physiol Genomics* 2007, **32**:105–116.
13. van Dorland HA, Richter S, Morel I, Doherr MG, Castro N, Bruckmaier RM: Variation in hepatic regulation of metabolism during the dry period and in early lactation in dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci* 2009, **92**:1924–1940.
14. Schlegel G, Keller J, Hirche F, Geißler S, Schwarz FJ, Ringseis R, Stangl GI, Eder K: Expression of genes involved in hepatic carnitine synthesis and uptake in dairy cows in the transition period and at different stages of lactation. *BMC Vet Res* 2012, **8**:28.
15. Washburn KE, Powell JG, Maxwell CV, Kegley EB, Johnson Z, Fakler TM: A successful method of obtaining percutaneous liver biopsy samples of sufficient quantity for trace mineral analysis in adult swine without the aid of ultrasound. *J Swine Health Prod* 2005, **13**:126–130.
16. Keller J, Ringseis R, Koc A, Lukas I, Kluge H, Eder K: Supplementation with L-carnitine downregulates genes of the ubiquitin proteasome system in the skeletal muscle and liver of piglets. *Animal* 2012, **6**:70–78.
17. Hirche F, Fischer M, Keller J, Eder K: Determination of carnitine, its short chain acyl esters and metabolic precursors trimethyllysine and γ -butyrobetaine by quasi-solid phase extraction and MS/MS detection. *J Chromatogr B* 2009, **877**:2158–2162.
18. Kroetz DL, Yook P, Costet P, Bianchi P, Pineau T: Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α controls the hepatic CYP4A induction adaptive response to starvation and diabetes. *J Biol Chem* 1998, **273**:31581–31589.
19. Goto T, Takahashi N, Kato S, Egawa K, Ebisu S, Moriyama T, Fushiki T, Kawada T: Phytol directly activates peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPAR α) and regulates gene expression involved in lipid metabolism in PPAR α -expressing HepG2 hepatocytes. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2005, **337**:440–445.
20. Ringseis R, Luci S, Spielmann J, Kluge H, Fischer M, Geißler S, Wen G, Hirche F, Eder K: Clofibrate treatment up-regulates novel organic cation transporter (OCTN)-2 in tissues of pigs as a model of non-proliferating species. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2008, **583**:11–17.
21. Ringseis R, Wege N, Wen G, Rauer C, Hirche F, Kluge H, Eder K: Carnitine synthesis and uptake into cells are stimulated by fasting in pigs as a model of nonproliferating species. *J Nutr Biochem* 2009, **20**:840–847.

22. Musser RE, Goodband RD, Tokach MD, Owen KQ, Nelssen JL, Blum SA, Campbell RG, Smits R, Dritz SS, Civis CA: **Effects of L-carnitine fed during lactation on sow and litter performance.** *J Anim Sci* 1999, **77**:3296–3303.
23. Birkenfeld C, Doberenz J, Kluge H, Eder K: **Effect of L-carnitine supplementation of sows on L-carnitine status, body composition and concentrations of lipids in liver and plasma of their piglets at birth and during the suckling period.** *Anim Feed Sci Technol* 2006, **129**:23–28.
24. Musser RE, Goodband RD, Tokach MD, Owen KQ, Nelssen JL, Blum SA, Dritz SS, Civis CA: **Effects of L-carnitine fed during gestation and lactation on sow and litter performance.** *J Anim Sci* 1999, **77**:3289–3295.
25. Musser RE, Dritz SS, Davis DL, Tokach MD, Nelssen JL, Goodband RD, Owen KQ: **Effects of L-carnitine in the gestating sow diet on fetal muscle development and carcass characteristics of the offspring.** *J Appl Anim Res* 2007, **31**:105–111.
26. Brown KR, Goodband RD, Tokach MD, Dritz SS, Nelssen JL, Minton JE, Higgins JJ, Woodworth JC, Johnson BJ: **Growth characteristics, blood metabolites, and insulin-like growth factor system components in maternal tissues of gilts fed L-carnitine through day seventy of gestation.** *J Anim Sci* 2007, **85**:1687–1694.
27. Ramanau A, Kluge H, Spilke J, Eder K: **Effects of supplementation of L-carnitine on the reproductive performance of sows in stock production.** *Livest Sci* 2008, **113**:34–42.
28. Eder K: **Influence of L-carnitine on metabolism and performance of sows.** *Br J Nutr* 2009, **102**:645–654.

doi:10.1186/1751-0147-55-24

Cite this article as: Rosenbaum *et al.*: Genes involved in carnitine synthesis and carnitine uptake are up-regulated in the liver of sows during lactation. *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica* 2013 **55**:24.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

