# **BRIEF COMMUNICATION**

**Open Access** 



# Experimental tumor growth of canine osteosarcoma cell line on chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane (in vivo studies)

Magdalena Walewska<sup>1</sup>, Izabella Dolka<sup>2</sup>, Anna Małek<sup>1</sup>, Anna Wojtalewicz<sup>1</sup>, Agata Wojtkowska<sup>1</sup>, Artur Żbikowski<sup>2</sup>, Roman Lechowski<sup>1</sup> and Katarzyna Zabielska-Koczywąs<sup>1\*</sup>

## Abstract

The chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) model is extensively used in human medicine in preclinical oncological studies. The CAM model has several advantages: low cost, simple experimental approach, time saving and following "3R principles". Research has shown that the human osteosarcoma cell lines U2OS, MMNG-HOS, and SAOS can form tumors on the CAM. In veterinary medicine, this has been described only for feline fibrosarcomas, feline mammary carcinomas and canine osteosarcomas. However, in case of canine osteosarcomas, it has been shown that only non-adherent osteosarcoma stem cells isolated from KTOSA5 and CSKOS cell lines have the ability to form microtumors on the CAM after an incubation period of 5 days, in contrast to adherent KTOSA5 and CSKOS cells. In the presented study, we have proven that the commercial adherent canine osteosarcoma cell line (D-17) can form vascularized tumors on the CAM after the incubation period of 10 days.

Keywords: Chick embryo, Chorioallantoic membrane, Osteosarcoma, Dogs, Cell line

### Background

Osteosarcoma is the most common malignant bone tumor in children and dogs, although the disease occurs in dogs approximately 10 times more frequently than in humans. Chemotherapy and aggressive surgical techniques poorly improve the survival time. Consequently, a number of studies on new therapeutics are being conducted. In human medicine, the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) model is extensively used in preclinical oncological studies [1–10]. Growth of various human tumors (Burkitt lymphoma, ovarian cancer, glioblastoma multiforme, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma) was successfully achieved using the CAM model [6, 11–13]. The CAM model is an ideal environment to assess tumor growth, as it is naturally immunodeficient, what is a major advantage compared to

\*Correspondence: katarzyna\_zabielska@sggw.pl

<sup>1</sup> Department of Small Animal Diseases with Clinic, Faculty of Veterinary

Medicine, Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Nowoursynowska 159c, 02-776 Warsaw, Poland



Commercial canine osteosarcoma cell line (D-17, ATCC) was cultivated in Eagel's Minimum Essential



© The Author(s) 2017. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Medium (EMEM) with the addition of heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin-streptomycin (50 IU ml<sup>-1</sup>) and amphotericin B (2.5 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>) under standard conditions (5% of CO<sub>2</sub>, 95% of humidity, 37 °C). The medium was changed every 48-72 h when 70-80% of confluence was achieved. For performing in ovo study, the cells were trypsinized and counted with Countess II FL Automatic Cell Counter. In ovo assay was performed according to previously described procedure [15] with some modification (Fig. 1). Thirty-six hatching Ross 308 eggs (Poultry Hatchery Pankowski Jan, Białobrzegi, Poland) were held in the incubator and incubated at standard conditions (37 °C, 55% humidity, turn once per hour during the first 6 days). On the 3rd day of incubation, eggs were pierced with a needle (20 G) through the eggshell to the air cell after disinfection of the superficial surface and taped with a semitransparent patch to prevent chick embryos from drying out. Afterwards the eggs were turned 180° to increase the survival rate of chick embryos and to enable easier access to the CAM. On the 6th day of incubation 'windows' in the eggshell (sized  $7 \times 7$  mm) were made. After that sterile silicone rings, designed especially for this purpose (7 mm in external diameter, 6 mm in internal diameter, 1 mm thick) (Zegir PTHU, Poland) were placed on the CAM. Osteosarcoma cells (5  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cells per egg suspended in 25 µl of medium) were aseptically injected into the silicone rings. The number of cells seeded per egg were chosen according to the results of our previous study on FISS [5, 15]. Saline and medium (EMEM) (25 µl per egg) were used as negative controls. After inoculation the 'windows' were taped with semitransparent patch of high air and humidity permeability. The eggs were candled 24 and 48 h later to evaluate the chick embryos vitality. Tumor growth was visible after incubation period of 10 days. From the 16th day of incubation, the tumor growth was systematically controlled using a digital macro view otoscope (Welch Allyn Viewer, USA) and 3D microscope (VHX-5000, Keyence, Belgium). On the 19th day of incubation, chick embryos were decapitated and the tumors were sampled. The experiment was repeated in triplicate. Tumors were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for 24 h and standard procedures for histopathology were applied. Briefly, tissue samples were embedded in paraffin and cut into 4 µm sections and stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H–E). Histopathological examination and grading were performed according to a system adopted from human medicine and used in previous studies of canine subcutaneous soft tissue sarcomas, in this case for soft-tissue osteosarcomas (extraskeletal) [18]. Histologic tumor grade (low being the 1st, moderate the 2nd and high the 3rd) was assessed with respect to the degree of differentiation, mitotic score and percentage of microscopic tumor necrosis. The mitotic index (MI) was determined in 10 high-power fields (HPF) under the  $40 \times$  objective lens. Areas with necrosis were omitted. Positive tumor development was recorded when tumors size exceeded 2 mm in diameter according to Balke et al. [1]. Location of the



tumor was directly in the silicon rings (Fig. 2a) or a few millimeters out of silicon rings, either the tumor cells had spread through the vessels or the silicon ring position had slightly moved during embryogenesis. Tumors had a smooth surface, an ovoid to spherical shape (Fig. 2b) and

they showed an invasive growth pattern into the CAM. The average tumor diameter was 3 mm. Examination of H-E stained tissue sections showed an implant-growth pattern on the CAM (Fig. 3a). The masses were highly vascularized, well demarcated, but non-encapsulated,





**Fig. 3** Photomicrographs of canine osteosarcoma growth on the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane. **a** Overview of tumor growth of canine osteosarcoma cell line. H–E, *bar*: 200 μm; **b** Visible high cellularity of the tumor with pleomorphism, bizarre mitotic figures (*arrows*), multinucleated giant cells (*thick black arrow*), proliferation of small blood vessels (*arrowheads*). H–E, *bar*: 20 μm; **c** tumor mass composed of polygonal to spindle cells separated by fine fibrovascular matrix and showed high mitotic rate. H–E, *bar*: 20 μm; **d** basophilic deposits (*thick black arrow*) surrounded by osteoclast-like giant cells (*arrowhead*) and the infiltration of heterophils (*arrow*). H–E, *bar*: 20 μm

contained areas of necrosis and haemorrhage. Histologically sarcomas were characterized by high cellularity, abundant mitotic activity (MI 198/10HPF) with the presence of atypical (bizarre) mitoses (Fig. 3b), and lack of osteoid formation in all samples. Tumors were composed of polygonal to spindle cells separated by fine fibrovascular matrix (Fig. 3c). Neoplastic cells showed marked nuclear pleomorphism, round or elongated vesicular nuclei, frequently vacuolated cytoplasm, and prominent eosinophilic nucleoli. There were also scattered multinucleated cells (Fig. 3b). High tumor grade (3rd) was established in all tumors. Peripherally to necrosis, mild to marked mixed cell infiltration were noted (heterophils, mononuclear cells). In one case, some basophilic deposits surrounded by (osteoclast-like) giant cells and heterophils were superficially localized (which were probably a mineral or of uric acid deposits) (Fig. 3d). Angiogenesis, necrosis and hemorrhage were observed as typical features of osteosarcoma. The survival of chick embryos on the 19th day of incubation was 83% (29 out of 35 chick embryos), as six chick embryos died due to manual manipulation. Comparison of the H-E staining of the CAM with and without tumor growth is presented in Figs. 4 and 5.

The experiment proved success of the CAM model for canine D-17 osteosarcoma cell line. In the future, this model may be used to expand the knowledge of canine osteosarcomas. The rodent model, which is commonly used for preclinical evaluation of drug formulation is rather expensive and time-consuming. The in ovo model allows to overcome such disadvantages. The CAM model provides rapid tumor growth at relatively low cost. This model has been successfully adopted in human medicine. Balke et al. [1] reported the ability of



blood vessels visible. H–E bar: 50  $\mu$ m



sarcoma cell line (D-17) on the CAM. *Bar*: 200  $\mu$ m. High magnification images taken from the sites indicated by *box* comparing CAMs: infiltration of the CAM by tumor cell line (*right*) and showing the normal structure of the CAM (*left*). H–E, *bar*: 50  $\mu$ m

three (MNNG-HOS, U2OS, SAOS) out of eight human osteosarcoma cell lines to form vascularized solid tumors on the CAM. In veterinary medicine, the CAM model was firstly reported by our research group for feline vaccine-associated fibrosarcoma cell line (FFS1WAW) [10]. Recently, it has been also described for feline fibrosarcomas (from FFS1 and FFS3 cell lines) [5], feline mammary carcinomas [16] and canine osteosarcomas [17]. Pang et al. [17] demonstrated the ability to form microtumors 5 days after cell inoculation from canine osteosarcoma stem cells isolated from KTOSA5 and CSKOS cell lines, but not from adherent KTOSA5 and CSKOS cells, in contrast to the findings of this study, where we show that the commercial canine adherent osteosarcoma cell line (D-17) can form solid tumors on the CAM (on average 3 mm in diameter). Canine osteosarcoma cell line (D-17) needs 10 days to form solid tumors, which is in agreement with our previous study on feline fibrosarcoma cell line growth on the CAM [15]. However, it is in contrast to the results obtained for various human cell lines, which need only 3-7 days to form solid tumors on the CAM after cell grafting [1, 14]. The lack of tumor growth from adherent canine osteosarcoma cell lines (KTOSA5 and CSKOS) observed by Pang et al. [17], may be due to too short time of observation, as they visualize tumors after 5 days of cell inoculation. Moreover, they injected 10<sup>6</sup> cells, which may be not enough to obtain tumor growth. Lack of osteoid formation in tumors grown from D-17 cell line may probably result from the short experimental duration (13 days) and the bone was not developed in the early stage of an embryogenesis. It is in agreement with the study performed by Balke et al. [1], who also did not

show osteoid formation in tumors growth on the CAM from human osteosarcoma cell lines. The research presented is the first step to create a preclinical oncological model for canine osteosarcoma. Further studies on the CAM model on the ability to metastasize and migrate through the basement membrane of osteosarcoma cells are needed due to rapidly progressive nature of canine osteosarcoma.

#### Abbreviations

CAM: chorioallantoic membrane; EMEM: Eagel's Minimum Essential Medium; FISS: feline injection-site sarcoma; FBS: fetal bovine serum; H–E: haematoxylin and eosin; HPF: high-power fields; MI: mitotic index.

#### Authors' contributions

The study was performed by all authors. KZ-K designed the study and coordinated the experiment. All authors participated in drafting of the manuscript. KZ-K, ID, and RL critically revised the manuscript. KZ-K, ID, and AW prepared the figures. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup> Department of Small Animal Diseases with Clinic, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Nowoursynowska 159c, 02-776 Warsaw, Poland. <sup>2</sup> Department of Pathology and Veterinary Diagnostics, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Nowoursynowska 159, 02-776 Warsaw, Poland.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Marcin Osas for the English language support.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Approval of Animal Ethics Commission was not required according to the Polish act on the protection of animals used for scientific and educational purposes, which was passed in January 2015 and transposed Directive 2010/63/ EU into current Polish legislation.

#### Funding

The project was funded by the Warsaw University of Life Sciences Grants No. 505-10-023500-M00249-99 (KZ-K) and 50510-023500-L00213-99 (MW) and by KNOW (Leading National Research Centre) Scientific Consortium "Healthy Animal-Safe Food", decision of Ministry of Science and Higher Education No. 05-1/KNOW2/2015. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 4 December 2016 Accepted: 8 May 2017 Published online: 12 May 2017

#### References

- 1. Balke M, Neumann A, Kersting C, Agelopoulos K, Gebert C, Gosheger G, et al. Morphologic characterization of osteosarcoma growth on the chick chorioallantoic membrane. BMC Res Notes. 2010;3:58.
- Xiao X, Zhou X, Ming H, Zhang J, Huang G, Zhang Z, et al. Chick chorioallantoic membrane assay: a 3D animal model for study of human nasopharyngeal carcinoma. PLoS ONE. 2015;10:1–14.
- Silva ZS, Bussadori SK, Fernandes KPS, Huang Y-Y, Hamblin RM. Animal models for photodynamic therapy (PDT). Biosci Rep. 2015;35:e00265. doi:10.1042/BSR20150188.
- Deryugina EI, Quigley JP. Chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane model systems to study and visualize human tumor cell metastasis. Histochem Cell Biol. 2008;130:1119–30.
- Zabielska-Koczywąs K, Dolka I, Król M, Żbikowski A, Lewandowski W, Mieczkowski J, et al. Doxorubicin conjugated to glutathione stabilized gold nanoparticles (Au-GSH-Dox) as an effective therapeutic agent for feline injection-site sarcomas—chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane study. Molecules. 2017. doi:10.3390/molecules22020253.
- Liu M, Scanlon CS, Banerjee R, Russo N, Inglehart RC, Willis AL, et al. The histone methyltransferase EZH2 mediates tumor progression on the chick chorioallantoic membrane assay, a novel model of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Transl Oncol. 2013;6:273–81.
- Kue CS, Tan KY, Lam ML, Lee HB. Chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane (CAM): an alternative predictive model in acute toxicological studies for anti-cancer drugs. Exp Anim. 2015;64:129–38.
- 8. Kim Y, Williams KC, Gavin CT, Jardine E, Chambers AF, Leong HS. Quantification of cancer cell extravasation in vivo. Nat Protoc. 2016;11:937–48.
- Nowak-Śliwińska P, Segura T, Iruela-Arispe ML. The chicken chorioallantoic membrane model in biology, medicine and bioengineering. Angiogenesis. 2014;17:779–804.
- Vargas A, Zeisser-Labouèbe M, Lange N, Gurny R, Delie F. The chick embryo and its chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) for the in vivo evaluation of drug delivery systems. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2007;59:1162–76.
- Klingenberg M, Becker J, Eberth S, Kube D, Wilting J. The chick chorioallantoic membrane as an in vivo xenograft model for Burkitt lymphoma. BMC Cancer. 2014;14:339.
- Lokman NA, Elder ASF, Ricciardelli C, Oehler MK. Chick chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay as an in vivo model to study the effect of newly identified molecules on ovarian cancer invasion and metastasis. Int J Mol Sci. 2012;13:9959–70.
- Szmidt M, Urbańska K, Grodzik M, Orłowski P, Sawosz E, Wierzbicki M, et al. Morphology of human glioblastoma model cultured in ovo. Bull Vet Inst Pulawy. 2012;56:261–6.
- Ribatti D. Chicken chorioallantoic membrane angiogenesis model. Methods Mol Biol. 2012;843:47–57.
- Zabielska K, Lechowski R, Krol M, Pawlowski KM, Motyl T, Dolka I, et al. Derivation of feline vaccine-associated fibrosarcoma cell line and its growth on chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane—a new in vivo model for veterinary oncological studies. Vet Res Commun. 2012;36:227–33.
- Pang LY, Blacking TM, Else RW, Sherman A, Sang HM, Whitelaw BA, et al. Feline mammary carcinoma stem cells are tumorigenic, radioresistant, chemoresistant and defective in activation of the ATM/p53 DNA damage pathway. Vet J. 2013;196:414–23.
- Pang LY, Gatenby EL, Kamida A, Whitelaw BA, Hupp TR, Argyle DJ. Global gene expression analysis of canine osteosarcoma stem cells reveals a novel role for COX-2 in tumour initiation. PLoS ONE. 2014;9:e83144. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083144.
- McSporran KD. Histologic grade predicts recurrence for marginally excised canine subcutaneous soft tissue sarcomas. Vet Pathol. 2009;46:928–33.